

Angular Gelfand–Tsetlin Coordinates for the Supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$

Thomas Guhr¹ and Heiner Kohler²

¹ *Matematisk Fysik, LTH, Lunds Universitet, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden*

² *Departamento de Teoría de Materia Condensada, Universidad Autónoma, Madrid, Spain*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

We construct Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates for the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. This extends a previous construction for the unitary supergroup $\text{U}(k_1/k_2)$. We focus on the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates, i.e. our coordinates stay in the space of the supergroup. We also present a generalized Gelfand pattern for the supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ and discuss various implications for representation theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

If the symmetries of a physical problem are simple enough, proper coordinates are easy to find. However, already the Schrödinger equation for a particle in a potential with spherical symmetry leads to non-trivial group theory, such as parametrization of the Lie group $\text{SO}(3)$ with Euler angles, spherical harmonics, Wigner representation functions and, in the case of the Hydrogen atom, additional symmetries and the Lie group $\text{SO}(4)$. The coordinates mostly used distinguish, for a good physics reason, certain directions and thus do not treat all coordinates on an equal footing. Group theoretically, such parametrizations are called non-canonical. The Euler angles, for example, describe three subsequent rotations, first, about the z -axis, second, about the new y -axis, and, third, about the new z -axis. Nevertheless, there are many problems, particularly in statistical mechanics, in many-body physics and in matrix models, where one does not want to distinguish certain directions. Rather, all variables parametrizing the group should be treated on an equal footing. Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates^{1,2,3} are such a coordinate system. Their construction is based on a group chain or coset decomposition. Thus Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates have a clear recursive structure. From a physics point of view, it is important that matrix elements, measures and other quantities reflect this clear recursive structure and can be given very explicitly. The generality of this group chain construction makes Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates powerful tools in applications, see for example Refs. 4,5, but also for conceptual studies, see for example Refs. 6,7,8. A particularly intriguing aspect is the intimate and direct connection between Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates on the group manifold and representations of this group. Their rich features and their relevance for different types of studies ranging from physics applications to pure mathematics render Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates important objects in their own right.

In Ref. 5, Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates were constructed for the unitary supergroup $\text{U}(k_1/k_2)$. In the present contribution, we further extend this and construct Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates for the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. As this group contains the symplectic group $\text{USp}(2k_2)$ and the orthogonal group $\text{SO}(k_1)$ as subgroups, our construction also includes coordinate systems for these two groups in ordinary space. The construction for the orthogonal group was implicitly also done in Ref. 8.

For the sake of clarity, an important remark is in order: We distinguish between *angular* and *radial* Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates. In the present work, we construct angular ones. By that we mean, that they never leave the space of the group and its algebra. In previous contributions^{9,10,11,12}, we constructed radial Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates to study certain types of group integrals. These radial Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates are capable of mapping the integral over a group onto integrals over the radial part of a different symmetric space. Hence, in this sense, these coordinates leave the space of the group and its algebra. Here, we always stay with the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates.

The appreciated explicit formulae resulting from the Gelfand–Tsetlin construction imply the unavoidable disadvantage that a reader, not familiar with the subject, can quickly lose his orientation. Therefore, we decided to skip several detailed calculations if, in our opinion, it would not be too cumbersome for the reader to recover the missing steps by properly adjusting the corresponding ones in Ref. 5. In any case, we recommend that an interested but unexperienced reader studies first Refs. 4,8 and then Ref. 5 before reading the present contribution.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we construct the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates. We state the generalized Gelfand pattern in Sec. III and discuss some issues related to representation theory. Summary and conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE COORDINATE SYSTEM

In Sec. II A, we collect some properties of the supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ needed in the sequel. We set up the proper Gelfand–Tsetlin equations and their recursion to all levels in Secs. II B and II C, respectively. We solve these equations

in Sec. IID. We summarize the construction of the Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates for the ordinary unitary symplectic group in Sec. IIE. The invariant measure of the supergroup is worked out in Sec. IIF. The matrix elements of the supergroup are obtained in Sec. IIG.

A. The supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$

The classification of superalgebras and supergroups can be found in Refs. 13,14,15. Here, we restrict ourselves to summarizing features of the supergroups $\text{OSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ and $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. We will refer to k_1 and $2k_2$ as to the bosonic and fermionic dimensions, respectively. We introduce the notation $(k_1/2k_2)$ for the resulting superdimension. The elements of $\text{OSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ are those elements u of the general linear supergroup $\text{GL}(k_1/2k_2)$ which satisfy $u^\dagger L u = L$. The metric L is given by

$$L = \text{diag}(1_{k_1}, 1_{k_2} \otimes \tau^{(1)}) , \quad (2.1)$$

where 1_{k_1} and 1_{k_2} are the $k_1 \times k_1$ and the $k_2 \times k_2$ unit matrices and where $\tau^{(1)}$ is one of the Pauli matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{(0)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} , & \tau^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} , \\ \tau^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix} , & \tau^{(3)} &= \begin{bmatrix} +i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ is the compact subgroup of $\text{OSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. By construction, the direct product $\text{SO}(k_1) \otimes \text{USp}(2k_2)$ of the ordinary orthogonal and the ordinary unitary symplectic group is a subgroup of $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. As is well known, the ordinary orthogonal group $\text{SO}(k_1)$ has slightly different features for even and odd dimension k_1 . Thus, these differences are also present in the supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$.

The group elements act on a graded space, which we denote by $\mathcal{L} = {}^0\mathcal{L} \oplus {}^1\mathcal{L}$. It decomposes into a sum of an even ${}^0\mathcal{L}$ and an odd ${}^1\mathcal{L}$ subspace according to its transformation properties under the parity automorphism¹⁶. We define a basis $e_j = e_{j1}, j = 1, \dots, k_1$ for ${}^0\mathcal{L}$, and $e_{k_1+j} = e_{j2}, j = 1, \dots, 2k_2$ for ${}^1\mathcal{L}$ respectively.

The supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ can be obtained by the exponential mapping of the superalgebra $\text{uosp}(k_1/2k_2)$, such that $\sigma \in \text{uosp}(k_1/2k_2)$ leads to $u = \exp(\sigma) \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. The construction of the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates uses as the starting point the Cartan subalgebra $\text{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$ of $\text{uosp}(k_1/2k_2)$. For even bosonic dimension $2k_1$, the elements of $\text{uosp}^{(0)}(2k_1/2k_2)$ are the matrices

$$s = \text{diag}(is_{11}\tau^{(1)}, \dots, is_{k_1 1}\tau^{(1)}, s_{12}\tau^{(3)}, \dots, s_{k_2 1}\tau^{(3)}) , \quad (2.3)$$

while for odd bosonic dimension $2k_1 + 1$, the $\text{uosp}^{(0)}(2k_1 + 1/2k_2)$ consists of the matrices

$$s = \text{diag}(is_{11}\tau^{(1)}, \dots, is_{k_1 1}\tau^{(1)}, 0, s_{12}\tau^{(3)}, \dots, s_{k_2 1}\tau^{(3)}) . \quad (2.4)$$

Naturally, $\text{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$ is the direct sum of the Cartan subalgebras of $\text{so}(k_1)$ and $\text{usp}(2k_2)$.

B. Derivation of the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin equations

Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates are based on a group chain or, equivalently, on a coset decomposition. The coset decomposition needed for the supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2) &= \frac{\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)}{\text{UOSp}((k_1-1)/2k_2)} \otimes \frac{\text{UOSp}((k_1-1)/2k_2)}{\text{UOSp}((k_1-2)/2k_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\text{UOSp}(1/2k_2)}{\text{USp}(2k_2)} \\ &\quad \otimes \frac{\text{USp}(2k_2)}{\text{USp}(2k_2-2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\text{USp}(4)}{\text{SU}(2)} \otimes \text{SU}(2) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Every coset space describes a unit sphere. The first coset $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)/\text{UOSp}((k_1-1)/2k_2)$ is a sphere in a superspace with dimension $(k_1/2k_2)$. The dimension of the space in which the sphere lives is lowered by one in every step. The sphere $\text{UOSp}(1/2k_2)/\text{USp}(2k_2)$ is the last one living in a superspace, the following spheres in the second line of Eq. (2.5) are spheres in ordinary spaces. Coordinate systems will be constructed on all these spheres under the non-trivial requirement that the orthogonality, more precisely the equation $u^\dagger L u = L$, is always respected. Thus, once the coordinate system on one sphere has been obtained, the orthogonal complement to every fixed vector on this

sphere has to be constructed, the next sphere lives in this smaller space. Hence, loosely speaking, the spheres in the coset decomposition are orthogonal to each other. The construction to follow is an extension of the one in Ref. 5 for the unitary supergroup. For simplicity, we consider the case of even k_1 first. The differences occurring for odd k_1 will be dealt with in Sec. II C.

To project onto a smaller subspace, we write $u \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ as $u = [u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{k_1+2k_2}]$ where the columns u_i are normalized supervectors. We denote by u_{ji} their entries in the basis $e_{j1}, j = 1, \dots, k_1$ and $e_{j2}, j = 1, \dots, 2k_2$. The orthogonality condition requires the vectors $u_i, i \leq k_1$ to be real

$$u_{ji} = u_{ji}^* \quad , \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{(k_1+2j)i} = u_{(k_1+2j-1)i}^* \quad , \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k_2. \quad (2.6)$$

We consider the first vector, it is parametrized by k_1 real commuting variables u_{j1} and $2k_2$ complex anticommuting variables, for the latter we write

$$u_{(k_1+2j)i} = \alpha_j^* \quad , \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_2. \quad (2.7)$$

We also define $|\alpha_j|^2 = \alpha_j^* \alpha_j$. The supervector u_1 describes the coset space $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)/\text{UOSp}((k_1-1)/2k_2)$ which is – similar to ordinary spaces – isomorphic to the surface of the $(k_1/2k_2)$ dimensional sphere $S^{(k_1-1)/2k_2}$. We go from Cartesean coordinates to a new set of coordinates for u_1 by projecting a fixed element s of the Cartan subalgebra on a space of superdimension $((k_1-1)/2k_2)$ orthogonal to u_1 ,

$$s^{(1)} = (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger) s (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger). \quad (2.8)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this projected matrix are obtained by solving the supersymmetric Gelfand–Tsetlin equation

$$s_p^{(1)} e_p^{(1)} = (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger) s (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger) e_p^{(1)} = (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger) s e_p^{(1)}, \quad (2.9)$$

which extends the equation in Ref. 5 for the unitary supergroup to $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. It is convenient to rotate the basis in such a way that s becomes diagonal before solving Eq. (2.9), we introduce the primed basis

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{(2i-1)1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_{(2i-1)1} + i e_{(2i)1}) \quad , \\ e'_{(2i)1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i e_{(2i-1)1} + e_{(2i)1}) \quad , \quad i = 1 \dots k_1/2 \quad , \\ e'_{i2} &= e_{i2} \quad , \quad i = k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + 2k_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The rotation only affects the bosonic degrees of freedom, not the fermionic ones. Due to this rotation, the bosonic entries of u'_1 are now complex variables which we write in the form

$$u'_{(2j)1} = i u_{(2j-1)1}^* = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |v_j^{(1)}| \exp(-i \vartheta_j^{(1)}) \quad , \quad j = 1, \dots, k_1/2. \quad (2.11)$$

The fermionic entries are, also in the primed basis, given by Eq. (2.7). To calculate the eigenvalues, we need the characteristic function of the eigenvalue equation (2.9),

$$z(s_p^{(1)}) = \det g \left((1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_1 u_1^\dagger) s - s_p^{(1)} \right) = -s_p^{(1)} \det g \left(s - s_p^{(1)} \right) u_1^\dagger \frac{1_{k_1+2k_2}}{s - s_p^{(1)}} u_1. \quad (2.12)$$

Importantly, the function $z(s_p^{(1)})$ behaves differently for the k_1 bosonic eigenvalues, i.e. for those in the boson–boson block $s_p^{(1)} = s_{p1}^{(1)}$, $p = 1, \dots, k_1$, and for the $2k_2$ fermionic eigenvalues, i.e. for those in the fermion–fermion block $s_{k_1+p}^{(1)} = i s_{p2}^{(1)}$, $p = 1, \dots, 2k_2$. The equation above has therefore to be discussed in the limits

$$z(s_p^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p = 1, \dots, k_1 \\ \infty & \text{for } p = k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + 2k_2 \end{cases}. \quad (2.13)$$

Together with the normalization condition $u_1^\dagger u_1 = 1$ we find the following set of equations,

$$1 = \sum_{p=1}^{k_1/2} |v_p^{(1)}|^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{k_2} |\alpha_p^{(1)}|^2, \quad (2.14)$$

$$0 = (s_{p1}^{(1)})^2 \left(\sum_{q=1}^{k_1/2} \frac{|v_q^{(1)}|^2}{(s_{q1})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(1)})^2} + \sum_{q=1}^{k_2} \frac{|\alpha_q^{(1)}|^2}{(is_{q2})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(1)})^2} \right), \quad p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - 1), \quad (2.15)$$

$$z_p = (is_{p2}^{(1)})^2 \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{k_1/2} ((s_{q1})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(1)})^2)}{\prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((is_{q2})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(1)})^2)} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{k_1/2} \frac{|v_q^{(1)}|^2}{(s_{q1})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(1)})^2} + \sum_{q=1}^{k_2} \frac{|\alpha_q^{(1)}|^2}{(is_{q2})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(1)})^2} \right),$$

$$z_p \rightarrow \infty, \quad p = 1, \dots, 2k_2. \quad (2.16)$$

This is a system of equations in the variables $(s_{p1}^{(1)})^2$ and $(is_{p2}^{(1)})^2$. The second equation has a twofold degenerate solution at $s_{p1}^{(1)} = 0$. If $s_{p1}^{(1)}, is_{p2}^{(1)}$ are solutions of the above equations, $-s_{p1}^{(1)}$ and $-is_{p2}^{(1)}$ are solutions as well. Hence the projected matrix (2.8) is of the form (2.4) in the proper basis $e_j^{(1)}$ and belongs itself to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{uosp}^{(0)}((k_1 - 1)/2k_2)$. This is crucial for the recursion. The system (2.14) to (2.16) is overdetermined, out of the $k_1 + 2k_2 + 1$ equations in (2.14) to (2.16), only $k_1/2 + k_2$ are independent. The system yields the moduli squared of the entries of the vector u'_1 expressed in terms of the eigenvalues $s^{(1)}$. We call the latter bosonic eigenvalues, if they satisfy Eq. (2.15) and fermionic eigenvalues if they satisfy Eq. (2.16). With the substitutions $s_{q1}^{(j)} \rightarrow (s_{q1}^{(j)})^2$ and $is_{q2}^{(j)} \rightarrow (is_{q2}^{(j)})^2, j = 1, 2$, the set of independent equations is equivalent to the corresponding set of equations for the unitary supergroup. Thus, we can directly read off the solutions from Ref. 5. They will be stated in Sec. IID.

C. Recursion to all levels in superspace

The construction just outlined for the first coset space has to be continued recursively to cover the entire group manifold. For the ordinary groups, this recursion can be found in Ref. 1,2,3. In the present case, we extend the recursion for the unitary supergroup in Ref. 5. As the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$ is slightly different for even and odd bosonic dimension k_1 according to Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4), we have to distinguish these two cases for the recursion. For brevity, we refer to a level as even, if $(k_1 - n + 1)$ is even, and as odd otherwise.

In the n -th step the vector u'_n is expanded in a set of $k_1 - n + 1 + 2k_2$ basis vectors $e_j'^{(n-1)}$, which span the subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ orthogonal to $u = [u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n-1}]$. This set splits into two disjoint subsets. The first subset contains $k_1 - n + 1$ vectors $e_{j1}'^{(n-1)}$ spanning some subspace of ${}^0\mathcal{L}$. The second one contains $2k_2$ basis vectors $e_{j2}'^{(n-1)}$ spanning ${}^1\mathcal{L}$. The entries of u_n in this basis are complex variables

$$e_{(2p)1}'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n = i \left(e_{(2p-1)1}'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n \right)^* = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} |v_p^{(n)}| \exp(-i\vartheta_p^{(n)}), \quad p \leq \begin{cases} (k_1 - n + 1)/2 & \text{for } k_1 - n + 1 \text{ even} \\ (k_1 - n)/2 & \text{for } k_1 - n + 1 \text{ odd} \end{cases},$$

$$e_{(2p)2}'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n = \left(e_{(2p-1)1}'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n \right)^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha_p^{(n)*}, \quad p \leq k_2. \quad (2.17)$$

For $k_1 - n + 1$ odd, the remaining entry is parametrized by a real variable and an integer $r \in \{0, 1\}$ as

$$e_{(k_1-n+1)1}'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n = (-1)^r v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, we can write down the rotated n -th eigenvector on the $(n-1)$ -th level

$$u_n'^{(n-1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_1+2k_2-n+1} e_j'^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n e_j'^{(n-1)}. \quad (2.19)$$

The projection of s onto this subspace after the n -th step is given by

$$s^{(n-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=n}^{k_1+2k_2} u_i u_i^\dagger \right) s \left(\sum_{i=n}^{k_1+2k_2} u_i u_i^\dagger \right) = \left(1_{k_1+2k_2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i u_i^\dagger \right) s \left(1_{k_1+2k_2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i u_i^\dagger \right) \quad (2.20)$$

and belongs to the Cartan subalgebra of $\text{UOSp}((k_1 - n)/2k_2)$. The new coordinates are obtained by projecting $s^{(n-1)}$ on the subspace orthogonal to u_n by

$$s_p^{(n)} e_p^{(n)} = (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_n u_n^\dagger) s^{(n-1)} (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_n u_n^\dagger) e_p^{(n)} = (1_{k_1+2k_2} - u_n u_n^\dagger) s^{(n-1)} e_p^{(n)}. \quad (2.21)$$

For $k_1 - n + 1$ even, this leads to a system of equations as in (2.14) to (2.16) reduced by $(n-1)/2$ unknown variables. For $(k_1 - n + 1)$ odd, the equations have a slightly different form,

$$1 = \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} |v_p^{(n)}|^2 + |v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{k_2} |\alpha_p^{(n)}|^2, \quad (2.22)$$

$$0 = s_{p1}^{(n)} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \frac{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 |v_q^{(n)}|^2}{(s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2} + |v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{q=1}^{k_2} \frac{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 |\alpha_q^{(n)}|^2}{(is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2} \right), \quad p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n), \quad (2.23)$$

$$z_p = -is_{p2}^{(n)} \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} ((s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2)}{\prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2)} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \frac{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 |v_q^{(n)}|^2}{(s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2} + |v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{q=1}^{k_2} \frac{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 |\alpha_q^{(n)}|^2}{(is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2} \right), \quad (2.24)$$

$$z_p \rightarrow \infty, \quad p = 1, \dots, 2k_2.$$

The difference between Eqs. (2.22) to (2.24) and the corresponding equations (2.14) to (2.16) for the even levels is due to the isolated entry (2.18), which has to be treated separately. This reflects the difference between the even orthogonal group and the odd orthogonal group in ordinary space.

The new basis vectors $e'_j{}^{(n)}$ are related to the basis vectors of the foregoing level by a $(k_1 - n + 2k_2) \times (k_1 - n + 1 + 2k_2)$ rectangular supermatrix $\widehat{b}'^{(n)}$. The moduli squared of its entries $\widehat{b}'_{pm}{}^{(n)}$ are determined by rewriting Eq. (2.21) and multiplying it from the left hand side with $e'_m{}^{(n-1)\dagger}$

$$e'_m{}^{(n-1)\dagger} s^{(n-1)} e'_p{}^{(n)} = s_p^{(n)} e'_m{}^{(n-1)\dagger} e'_p{}^{(n)} + e'_m{}^{(n-1)\dagger} u_n b_p^{(n)}, \quad (2.25)$$

where we defined $b_p^{(n)} = u_n^\dagger s^{(n-1)} e'_p{}^{(n)}$. On the other hand we have

$$e'_m{}^{(n-1)\dagger} s^{(n-1)} e'_p{}^{(n)} = s_m^{(n-1)} e'_m{}^{(n-1)} e'_p{}^{(n)}, \quad (2.26)$$

which yields for the matrix elements of $\widehat{b}'^{(n)}$ the expression

$$\widehat{b}'_{pm}{}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{s_m^{(n-1)} - s_p^{(n)}} u'_m{}^{(n-1)} b_p^{(n)}. \quad (2.27)$$

The modulus squared of $b_p^{(n)}$ is determined by the normalization of the rotated basis vectors $e'_m{}^{(n)\dagger} e'_p{}^{(n)} = \delta_{mp}$, i.e. by the condition that the matrix $\widehat{b}'^{(n)} \widehat{b}'^{(n)\dagger}$ is unity in the $k_1 - n + 2k_2$ dimensional subspace orthogonal to $u = [u_1 u_2 \dots u_n]$. Due to the block structure of the supermatrix $\widehat{b}'^{(n)}$, the vector $b^{(n)}$ has commuting and anticommuting elements. For $k_1 - n + 1$ even we define $|w_p^{(n)}|^2 = |b_{2p}^{(n)}|^2 = |b_{2p-1}^{(n)}|^2$, $p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n + 1)/2$ for the commuting and $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2 = |b_{k_1-n+1+2p}^{(n)}|^2 = |b_{k_1-n+2p}^{(n)}|^2$, $p = 1, \dots, k_2$ for the anticommuting elements. For $k_1 - n + 1$ odd we define $|w_p^{(n)}|^2$ and $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2$ correspondingly. Again, there is a difference in the determining equations of $|w_p^{(n)}|^2$ and $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2$ between the even and the odd levels of the recursion. For $k_1 - n + 1$ even we have

$$\frac{1}{|w_p^{(n)}|^2} = \sum_{m=1}^{(k_1-n+1)/2} \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2)^2} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{m'=1}^{k_2} \frac{(is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{((is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2)^2} |\alpha_{m'}^{(n)}|^2, \quad (2.28)$$

$$p = 1, \dots, \frac{k_1 - n - 1}{2}.$$

For the remaining modulus squared we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|w_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}}^{(n)}|^2} = \sum_{m=1}^{(k_1-n+1)/2} \frac{1}{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{m'=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{(is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2} |\alpha_{m'}^{(n)}|^2. \quad (2.29)$$

The moduli squared of the anticommuting coordinates of $b^{(n)}$ fulfil a formally similar equation. However, it is mathematically more precise to write it in the inverted form to avoid the appearance of purely nilpotent variables in the denominator,

$$1 = |\beta_p^{(n)}|^2 \left(\sum_{m=1}^{(k_1-n+1)/2} \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2)^2} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{m'=1}^{k_2} \frac{(is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{((is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2)^2} |\alpha_{m'}^{(n)}|^2 \right),$$

$$p = 1, \dots, k_2. \quad (2.30)$$

The corresponding equations for the odd levels are obtained from Eqs. (2.28) and (2.30) by making the following formal replacements. In Eq. (2.28), the sum over m runs only to $(k_1 - n)/2$ and, in addition, the term $|v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 / (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2$ is subtracted. In Eq. (2.30), the first sum runs only to $(k_1 - n)/2$ and the term $|v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 / (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2$ is subtracted. Moreover, Eq. (2.29) does not exist for the odd levels.

D. Solution of the angular Gelfand–Tsetlin equations

Up to the k_1 -th level both sets of equations (2.14) to (2.16) and (2.28) to (2.30) have to be solved for even and odd levels separately. For the even levels, there is, as already mentioned above, a direct correspondence to the case of the unitary supergroup. Thus, we find employing the results of Ref. 5,

$$|v_p^{(n)}|^2 = \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} ((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} ((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2)},$$

$$p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n + 1)/2, \quad k_1 - n + 1 \text{ even},$$

$$|\alpha_p^{(n)}|^2 = ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2) \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} ((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} ((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2)}{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} ((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} ((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2)},$$

$$p = 1, \dots, k_2. \quad (2.31)$$

We have included the first level by setting $s = s^{(0)}$. To find the solution of Eqs. (2.28) to (2.30), one cannot directly make use of the results in the unitary case. An explicit calculation is necessary which is given in App. A. It yields

$$|w_p^{(n)}|^2 = \frac{-\prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} ((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2)}{2(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} ((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} ((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n - 1)/2,$$

$$|w_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}}^{(n)}|^2 = \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} (s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2}{\prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} (s_{m1}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2},$$

$$|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2 = ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2) \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2)}{2(is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} ((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2)},$$

$$p = 1 \dots k_2 \quad (2.32)$$

We observe that the squares of the fermionic eigenvalues of the different levels $(is_{p2}^{(n)})^2$ differ only by a nilpotent variable. Hence, we introduce complex anticommuting variables $\xi_p^{(n)}$ such that

$$|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2 = (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2. \quad (2.33)$$

We emphasize that this feature is highly non-trivial: the difference of the squared fermionic eigenvalues for two neighbouring levels can be expressed as the modulus squared of one anticommuting variable.

The solutions of Eqs. (2.22) to (2.24) for the odd levels, i.e. for $k_1 - n + 1$ odd, cannot directly be obtained by adjusting the results of Ref. 5. However, as the necessary modifications are intuitively clear, we do not derive the solutions for the odd levels in detail. We simply state the results,

$$\begin{aligned}
|v_p^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} \left((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{(s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} \left((s_{p1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n)/2, \\
|v_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}+1}^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2}{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2}, \\
|\alpha_p^{(n)}|^2 &= \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{(is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right)}, \\
&\quad p = 1, \dots, k_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

The solutions of Eqs. (2.28) to (2.30) for the odd levels read

$$\begin{aligned}
|w_p^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} \left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{k_2} \left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, (k_1 - n)/2, \\
|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}, \\
&\quad p = 1, \dots, k_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

From the solutions stated in Eqs. (2.31) to (2.35) one derives the corresponding formulae for the group $\text{SO}(k_1)$ in ordinary space by setting all anticommuting variables to zero.

A comparison with the results for the unitary supergroup $\text{U}(k_1/2k_2)$ in Ref. 5 reveals an interesting formal connection. The Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{u}^{(0)}(k_1 - n + 1/2k_2)$ and $\mathfrak{u}^{(0)}(k_1 - n/2k_2)$ of $\text{U}(k_1 - n + 1/2k_2)$ and $\text{U}(k_1 - n/2k_2)$, respectively, are all diagonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{(n-1)} &= \text{diag}(s_{11}^{(n-1)}, \dots, s_{(k_1-n+1)1}^{(n-1)}, is_{12}^{(n-1)}, \dots, is_{2k_22}^{(n-1)}), \\
s^{(n)} &= \text{diag}(s_{11}^{(n)}, \dots, s_{(k_1-n)1}^{(n)}, is_{12}^{(n)}, \dots, is_{2k_22}^{(n)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

If one now formally replaces, in the results for the unitary supergroup, these matrices $s^{(n-1)}$ and $s^{(n)}$ with elements of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1 - n + 1/2k_2)$ and $\mathfrak{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1 - n/2k_2)$ according to

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{(n-1)} &\longleftrightarrow \text{diag}(s_{11}^{(n-1)}, \dots, s_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}1}^{(n-1)}, is_{12}^{(n-1)}\tau^{(3)}, \dots, is_{k_22}^{(n-1)}) \otimes i\tau^{(3)}, \\
s^{(n)} &\longleftrightarrow \text{diag}(s_{11}^{(n)}, \dots, s_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}1}^{(n-1)}, 0, is_{12}^{(n)}, \dots, is_{k_22}^{(n)}) \otimes i\tau^{(3)}, \quad k_1 - n + 1 \text{ even}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

the results in Eqs. (2.31) and (2.32) and in Eqs. (2.34) and (2.35) are recovered. This formal connection between the unitary supergroup and the unitary orthosymplectic one is natural and plausible. Unfortunately, we could not make a sound mathematical reasoning out of the replacement (2.37) which would go beyond the *a posteriori* observation. However, the formal connection stated above illustrates the deep relationship between the groups which will become even more apparent in the generalized Gelfand pattern given in Sec. IV.

E. Ordinary unitary symplectic group

The k_1 -th step of the recursion is the last one in a superspace. We now approach the second line of Eq. (2.5). The following steps do not involve anticommuting variables anymore. We are left with the ordinary unitary symplectic group $\text{USp}(2k_2)$ and its coset decomposition. We make use of the isomorphism $\text{USp}(2k_2) \cong \text{U}(k_2; 4)$ where $\text{U}(k_2; 4)$ is

the unitary group in k_2 dimensions parametrized over the quaternions. Since $U(k_2; 4)$ can be parametrized analogously to $U(k_2; 2) \cong U(k_2)$, i.e. to the unitary group over the complex numbers, we only have to adjust the results of Refs. 1,4 where Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates for the ordinary unitary group were constructed. We write $U \in U(k_2; 4)$ as $U = [U_1 U_2 \cdots U_{k_2}]$. The normalized vectors U_i have quaternionic entries. The Cartan subalgebra is of the form $s_2^{(k_1)} = \text{diag}(s_{12}^{(k_1)}, \dots, s_{k_2 2}^{(k_1)}) \otimes \tau^{(3)}$. The Gelfand–Tsetlin eigenvalue equation reads for the first level of the $USp(2k_2)$ recursion, i.e. for the level $k_1 + 1$ of the $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ recursion

$$(1_{k_2} - U_1 U_1^\dagger) i s_2^{(k_1)} (1_{k_2} - U_1 U_1^\dagger) E_n^{(1)} = i s_{n2}^{(k_1+1)} E_n^{(1)} . \quad (2.38)$$

We introduced capital letters $U_1 = u_{k_1+1}$ and $E_n^{(1)} = e_n^{(k_1+1)}$ in order to highlight that the vectors and matrices used here live in ordinary space. Since the operator on the left hand side is not Hermitean selfdual, Eq. (2.38) has not a unique solution, see for example Ref. 17. This can be cured by multiplying Eq. (2.38) on both sides with $1_{k_2} \otimes \tau^{(3)}$ from the right. A well defined eigenvalue equation for a selfdual matrix obtains. It is known to have k_2 scalar eigenvalues $i s_{p2}^{(k_1+1)} 1_2$ which, to keep the notation simple, we also denote by $i s_{p2}^{(k_1+1)}$. After this adjustment, we can proceed along the same lines which led to Eq. (2.12). The equation reduces to the well known Gelfand–Tsetlin equations^{1,4} of the unitary group $U(k_2; 2) \cong U(k_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{k_2} |U_{n1}|^2 , \\ 0 &= \sum_{m=1}^{k_2} \frac{|U_{m1}|^2}{i s_{m2}^{(k_1)} - i s_{p2}^{(k_1+1)}} , \quad p = 1, \dots, k_2 - 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the $(k_2 - 1)$ eigenvalues $i s_{p2}^{(k_1+1)}$ and the moduli squared of the quaternionic entries

$$|U_{m1}|^2 = \text{Tr} U_{m1}^\dagger U_{m1} . \quad (2.40)$$

All formulae derived in Refs. 1,4 for the unitary group can now be adopted to the unitary symplectic one.

F. Invariant measure

According to the coset decomposition (2.5), the invariant measure $d\mu(u)$ of $u \in UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ is the product of all measures on the cosets, i.e. on the spheres described by them. Of course, these measures are conditioned, because the orthogonality of the vectors u_n in $u = [u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{k_1+2k_2}]$ has to be respected. As we will see, the Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates take care of this condition in a most convenient way. We evaluate the squared invariant length element $du_n^\dagger du_n$. For $(k_1 - n + 1)$ even, it reads,

$$du_n'^\dagger du_n' = du_n^\dagger du_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \frac{1}{4|v_m^{(n)}|^2} \left(d|v_m^{(n)}|^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 (d\vartheta_m^{(n)})^2 + \sum_{m'=1}^{k_2} d(\alpha_{m'}^{(n)})^* d\alpha_{m'}^{(n)} . \quad (2.41)$$

where we use the parametrization (2.11). The first equality is due to the basis independence of the invariant length element. It is a highly welcome feature of the Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates for the unitary group in ordinary space^{1,4} and in superspace⁵ that the metric remains diagonal. This holds also in the present problem. Extending the corresponding calculation of Ref. 5, we find for the even levels, i.e. for $k_1 - n + 1$ even,

$$d\mu(u_n) = 2^{k_2} \prod_{p=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} s_{p1}^{(n)} \frac{B_{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}k_2}((s^{(n)})^2)}{B_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}k_2}((s^{(n-1)})^2)} d[s_1^{(n)}] d[\vartheta^{(n)}] d[\xi^{(n)}] , \quad n \leq k_1 , \quad k_1 - n + 1 \text{ even} , \quad (2.42)$$

and for the odd levels, i.e. for $k_1 - n + 1$ odd, we have

$$d\mu(u_n) = 2^{k_2} \frac{\prod_{p=1}^{k_2} i s_{p2}^{(n)} i s_{p2}^{(n-1)}}{\prod_{p=1}^{\frac{k_1-n}{2}} s_{p1}^{(n-1)}} \frac{B_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}k_2}((s^{(n)})^2)}{B_{\frac{k_1-n}{2}k_2}((s^{(n-1)})^2)} d[s_1^{(n)}] d[\vartheta^{(n)}] d[\xi^{(n)}] , \quad n \leq k_1 , \quad k_1 - n + 1 \text{ odd} . \quad (2.43)$$

Here, we introduced the function

$$B_{nm}(s) = \frac{\prod_{p>q}^n (s_{p1} - s_{q1}) \prod_{p>q}^m (is_{p2} - is_{q2})}{\prod_{p,q} (s_{p1} - is_{q2})} = \frac{\Delta_n(s_1) \Delta_m(is_2)}{\prod_p^n \prod_q^m (s_{p1} - is_{q2})} . \quad (2.44)$$

It contains the ordinary Vandermonde determinants $\Delta_n(s_1)$ and $\Delta_m(is_2)$ and can be viewed as the supersymmetric generalization of the Vandermonde determinant^{5,18,19}. Furthermore, we defined

$$d[s_1^{(n)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} ds_{p1}^{(n)} , \quad d[\vartheta^{(n)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} d\vartheta_p^{(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad d[\xi^{(n)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{k_2} d\xi_p^{(n)*} d\xi_p^{(n)} . \quad (2.45)$$

Remarkably, Eqs. (2.42) and (2.43) imply that the measures on all cosets factorize. Collecting all these measures up to the k_1 -th step, we obtain the invariant measure of $u \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ in the form

$$d\mu(u) = 2^{k_1 k_2} \frac{\Delta_{k_2}((is_2^{(k_1)})^2)}{B_{\frac{k_1}{2}, k_2}(s^2)} \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \prod_{p=1}^{k_2} is_{p2}^{(i)} d[s_1^{(i)}] d[\vartheta^{(i)}] d[\xi^{(i)}] d\mu(U) , \quad (2.46)$$

where $d\mu(U)$ is the invariant measure on $U \in \text{USp}(2k_2)$. We mention in passing that the measure of the orthogonal group in ordinary space can be obtained by setting all anticommuting variables to zero in the invariant length (2.41), and skipping all couplings between the bosonic and fermionic eigenvalues in Eq. (2.46).

The measure (2.46) on $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ has an important feature: Most conveniently, it is, apart from $d\mu(U)$, flat. This follows directly from the factorization of the measures (2.42) and (2.43) on the coset spaces. This is also true for the Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates of the unitary group in ordinary^{1,4} and in superspace⁵ as well as for the ones of the orthogonal group in ordinary space. However, this important feature does not continue beyond the k_1 -th level. We will see that now in working out the measure $d\mu(U)$ for $U \in \text{USp}(2k_2)$. We write $u = [U_1 U_2 \cdots U_{k_2}]$ and decompose the entry U_{nm} as $U_{nm} = |U_{nm}| \hat{U}_{nm}$, with $\hat{U}_{nm}$ a unimodular quaternion. We introduce a parametrization of the unimodular quaternion,

$$\hat{U}_{nm} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi_n^{(m)} \exp(-i\gamma_{n1}^{(m)}) & -\sin \psi_n^{(m)} \exp(i\gamma_{n2}^{(m)}) \\ \sin \psi_n^{(m)} \exp(-i\gamma_{n2}^{(m)}) & \cos \psi_n^{(m)} \exp(i\gamma_{n1}^{(m)}) \end{bmatrix} , \quad (2.47)$$

which allows us to write the invariant length element squared as

$$\text{Tr } dU_m^\dagger dU_m = \sum_{n=1}^{k_2} \left(\frac{1}{4|U_{nm}|^2} (d|U_{nm}|^2)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 |U_{nm}|^2 (d\gamma_{ni}^{(m)})^2 + |U_{nm}|^2 (d \cos \psi_n^{(m)})^2 \right) , \quad m = 1 \dots k_2 . \quad (2.48)$$

Employing and properly adjusting the results of Refs. 1,4, one finds the measure of the coset in the m -th level

$$d\mu(U_m) = \frac{\Delta_{k_2-m}(is_2^{(k_1+m)})}{2^{k_2-m} \Delta_{k_2-m+1}^3(is_2^{(k_1+m-1)})} \prod_{p,q} (is_{p2}^{(k_1+m-1)} - is_{q2}^{(k_1+m)}) d[s_2^{(k_1+m)}] d[\cos \psi^{(m)}] d[\gamma^{(m)}] , \quad (2.49)$$

with the definitions

$$d[s_2^{(k_1+m)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{k_2-m+1} ds_{p2}^{(k_1+m)} , \quad d[\cos \psi^{(m)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{k_2-m+1} d \cos \psi_p^{(m)} \quad \text{and} \quad d[\gamma^{(m)}] = \prod_{p=1}^{k_2-m+1} d\gamma_{p1}^{(m)} d\gamma_{p2}^{(m)} . \quad (2.50)$$

One clearly sees that the factorization property does not hold for the unitary symplectic group. This is a peculiarity of the Gelfand–Tsetlin parametrization for the unitary symplectic group. Collecting all levels we arrive at the invariant measure on $U \in \text{USp}(2k_2)$,

$$d\mu(U) = \frac{1}{2^{k_2(k_2-1)/2} \Delta_{k_2}^3(is_2^{(k_1)})} \prod_{m=1}^{k_2} \prod_{n=1}^{k_2-m+1} \prod_{n'=1}^{k_2-m} \frac{is_{n2}^{(k_1+m-1)} - is_{n'2}^{(k_1+m)}}{\Delta_{k_2-m}^2(is_2^{(k_1+m)})} d[s_2^{(k_1+m)}] d[\cos \psi^{(m)}] d[\gamma^{(m)}] \quad (2.51)$$

which combines with Eq. (2.46) to the full measure on $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$.

G. Matrix elements

With the results of the previous sections, we can express an arbitrary column u_p of a matrix $u = [u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{k_1+2k_2}]$ in the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ in terms of our angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates. In the rotated primed basis (2.10), we have

$$u'_p = \widehat{b}'^{(1)T} \widehat{b}'^{(2)T} \cdots \widehat{b}'^{(n-1)T} u'^{(n-1)}_p, \quad (2.52)$$

where $b^{(n)}$ and the scalar products are defined in Eq. (2.17) and (2.18) and in Eqs. (2.25) and (2.27). So far, we have constructed a unitary representation of $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$. We also wish to obtain an orthosymplectic representation. To this end, we have to assure that the vectors u_j , $j \leq k_1$ become real, when the matrix $u' = [u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{k_1+2k_2}]$ is rotated back into the unprimed basis. We only discuss the case $k_1 - n + 1$ even, the odd case is treated analogously. We recall that the vector $b^{(n)}$ entering in the projection matrix in Eq. (2.27) has been determined only up to a phase. There is an ambiguity in choosing the phase of $b^{(n)}$. The Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates parametrize the vector u_n only up to some phases associated with the action of the Cartan subgroup of $\text{UOSp}((k_1 - n + 1)/2k_2)$. Thus, the projection matrix $\widehat{b}^{(n)}$ is as well invariant under the action of this Cartan subgroup. We may multiply $\widehat{b}^{(n)}$ with an arbitrary element of the Cartan subgroup without changing its projection properties. We set $b^{(n)}_{2p} = i b^{(n)}_{2p-1}$, $p \leq (k_1 - n - 1)/2$ and $b^{(n)}_{k_1-n} = i |w_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}}|$ in the commuting sector and $b^{(n)}_{k_1-n+1+2p} = -b^{(n)*}_{k_1-n+2p}$, $p = 1, \dots, k_2$ in the anticommuting one. The remaining phases may be set to zero. With this choice of phases and after undoing the basis rotation, the columns as well as the rows of $\widehat{b}^{(n)T}$ fulfill the reality condition (2.6). The vectors $u^{(n-1)}_n$ become real, too. An explicit form of the real matrices $\widehat{b}^{(n)}$ is given in App. B.

III. GENERALIZED GELFAND PATTERN

The unitary Lie group $\text{U}(k; \beta)$ over the real ($\beta = 1$) and complex ($\beta = 2$) numbers and over the quaternions ($\beta = 4$) is isomorphic to the orthogonal, unitary and unitary symplectic group, $\text{SO}(k) \cong \text{U}(k; 1)$, $\text{U}(k) \cong \text{U}(k; 2)$ and $\text{USp}(2k) \cong \text{U}(k; 4)$. The Gelfand–Tsetlin representation scheme obtains from the following procedure³. An irreducible representation is defined by an ordered set of integers or half integers called highest weights. This irreducible representation can be decomposed in irreducible representations of $\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$. In the decomposition each irreducible representation of $\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$ occurs either exactly once or never. Only those irreducible representations appear whose highest weights satisfy certain betweenness conditions depending on the group under consideration. Going through all steps of the group chain or, equivalently, the coset decomposition,

$$\text{U}(k; \beta) = \frac{\text{U}(k; \beta)}{\text{U}(k-1; \beta)} \otimes \frac{\text{U}(k-1; \beta)}{\text{U}(k-2; \beta)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\text{U}(2; \beta)}{\text{U}(1; \beta)} \otimes \text{U}(1; \beta), \quad (3.1)$$

one has labelled all states in the irreducible representation of $\text{U}(k; \beta)$ by a set of integers or half integers, arranged in a Gelfand pattern.

The analogue for the coordinates is as follows. We consider the adjoint group action $\mathcal{O}_k = U^\dagger x U$ on an element x of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}^{(0)}(k; \beta)$ with $U \in \text{U}(k; \beta)$. Here, in this one instance, we use the symbol x for an element in the algebra, because we want to emphasize that the present discussion so far applies to ordinary groups and because we want to avoid confusion with the discussion to follow on the supergroups. This subset $\mathcal{O}_k = U^\dagger x U$ of the complete algebra is called *orbit*. We can map the $\text{U}(k; \beta)$ orbit labelled by an ordered set of eigenvalues $x_i > x_{i+1}$ onto many different $\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$ orbits by projecting $\mathcal{O}_k$ onto a $k-1$ dimensional subspace. But only those $\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$ orbits $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}$ can be reached, whose eigenvalues interlace two neighboring eigenvalues of $\mathcal{O}_k$. This is the so called minimax principle for selfadjoint operators²⁰. The Gelfand–Tsetlin method uses the eigenvalues of the projected matrix as coordinates of the coset $\text{U}(k; \beta)/\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$. However, x is a fixed point of the action of the Cartan subgroup $\exp(ix_0)$, $x_0 \in \mathfrak{u}^{(0)}(k; \beta)$. Hence, the coset $\text{U}(k; \beta)/\text{U}(k-1; \beta)$ is parametrized by the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}$ only up to equivalence classes with respect to the action of the Cartan subgroup of $\text{U}(k; \beta)$, parametrized by x_0 . In this way the set of variables describing the coset is split into two parts: One part consists of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}$, the other one of the independent elements of x_0 . Guillemin and Sternberg⁶ introduced the concept of complete integrability by interpreting the entries of x as action and the elements of x_0 as angle coordinates of a generalized mechanical system. We emphasize that this usage of the term angles is different from the one introduced previously. We distinguish between radial and angular Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates. However, both Gelfand–Tsetlin coordinates, the radial and the angular ones, allow for a further distinction between action and angle degrees of freedom, although the interpretation is slightly different in the two cases. The Guillemin–Sternberg theory applies only to the groups

$U(k; \beta)$ for $\beta = 1, 2$ but not to the unitary symplectic group. This can be considered as the reason for the relatively complicated expression of the measure for $USp(2k) \cong U(k; 4)$.

The generalized Gelfand pattern for $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ can be extracted from the positive definiteness of the moduli squared of the bosonic matrix elements $|v_i^{(n)}|^2$. If one restricts oneself to the subgroup, which consists of the direct product $SO(k_1) \otimes USp(2k_2)$ the pattern of the $SO(k_1)$ and $USp(2k_2)$ are rederived which are well known from representation theory³. We state them here in a different form which emphasizes the relation to the pattern of the unitary group $U(k)$ which is the famous triangle²¹

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \underline{x_1^{(0)}} & & \underline{x_2^{(0)}} & & \dots & & \underline{x_k^{(0)}} & & \underline{x_{k+1}^{(0)}} \\
 & x_1^{(1)} & & x_2^{(1)} & \dots & x_{k-2}^{(1)} & & x_k^{(1)} & \\
 & & & & \vdots & & & & \\
 & & x_1^{(k-1)} & & & x_2^{(k-1)} & & & \\
 & & & x_1^{(k)} & & & & &
 \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

with the betweenness conditions

$$x_{i+1}^{(j-1)} \leq x_i^{(j)} \leq x_i^{(j-1)} . \quad (3.3)$$

The first row in the pattern (3.2) labels the orbit which was used as the starting point for the construction of the parametrization. We underline them to distinguish them from the coordinates of the group. From this pattern the pattern of the orthogonal group can be derived by the substitution rule (2.37), i.e. by assigning to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}^{(0)}(k)$ of the unitary group $U(k)$ the corresponding one $\mathfrak{so}^{(0)}(k)$ of the orthogonal group $SO(k)$. We restrict ourselves to the case of even k . The pattern (3.2) acquires the form

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 \underline{+x_1^{(0)}} & & \underline{+x_2^{(0)}} & & \dots & & \underline{+x_{k+1}^{(0)}} & & \underline{-x_{k+1}^{(0)}} & & \dots & & \underline{-x_2^{(0)}} & & \underline{-x_1^{(0)}} \\
 & x_1^{(1)} & & x_2^{(1)} & \dots & x_k^{(1)} & & 0 & & \underline{-x_k^{(1)}} & & \dots & & \underline{-x_2^{(1)}} & & \underline{-x_1^{(1)}} \\
 & & & & & & & \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
 & & x_1^{(2k-2)} & & x_2^{(2k-2)} & & \underline{-x_2^{(2k-2)}} & & \underline{-x_1^{(2k-2)}} & & & & & & \\
 & & & x_1^{(2k-1)} & & 0 & & \underline{-x_1^{(2k-1)}} & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & x_1^{(2k)} & & \underline{-x_1^{(2k)}} & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & 0 & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

with the betweenness conditions

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x_{i+1}^{(j-1)} & \leq x_i^{(j)} \leq & x_i^{(j-1)} \\
 |x_j^{(2k-2j+2)}| & \leq & x_j^{(2k-2j+1)} .
 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

We notice the symmetry along the middle axis. The variable space of the $SO(2k+2)$ is already covered by the left half of the triangle. The other half is shown to indicate its relation to the unitary case (3.2). Physically, this symmetry is due to time reversal invariance: A system which is not invariant under time reversal is modelled by hermitean operators. One can go to a time reversal invariant system by replacing these operators with real symmetric ones. Restricting the pattern (3.4) to the left half of the triangle, the patterns appear in their traditional form². By construction, the pattern of the unitary symplectic group $USp(2k)$ coincides with the one of the unitary group $U(k)$, this is due to the fact that only the action variables are used in the pattern. The unitary group has only one, but the unitary symplectic group has three angle variables coming with every action.

The two patterns of the orthogonal and the unitary symplectic groups together represent the subgroup $SO(k_1) \otimes USp(2k_2)$ of $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$. What represents the coset $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)/(SO(k_1) \otimes USp(2k_2))$? – We observe that the lengths squared $|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2$ of the anticommuting variables $\xi_p^{(n)}$ introduced in Eq. (2.33) have a distinguished meaning. We may identify these lengths of the anticommuting variables as the analogues of the actions stemming from the commuting degrees of freedom. We can organize the lengths squared $|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2$ in a rectangular pattern. Thus, the

and how the non-compactness of some variables is reflected in the corresponding Gelfand pattern, in ordinary and in superspace.

Acknowledgments

TG and HK acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Research Council and from the RNT Network of the European Union with Grant No. HPRN-CT-2000-00144, respectively. HK also thanks the division of Mathematical Physics, LTH, for its hospitality during his visits to Lund.

APPENDIX A: SOLUTION OF EQS. (2.28) TO (2.30)

We consider Eq. (2.28) and insert the solutions for $|v_m^{(n)}|^2$ and $|\alpha_m^{(n)}|^2$ given in (2.31). The right hand side of Eq. (2.28) can then be expanded in a sum of monomials in the nilpotent Gelfand-Tsetlin variables $|\xi_q^{(n)}|^2$, $q = 1, \dots, k_2$. Since each of the $|\xi_q^{(n)}|^2$ only appears linearly, the rank of the monomials cannot exceed k_2 . Thus, we can rewrite Eq. (2.28) in the form

$$\frac{1}{|w_p^{(n)}|^2} = \sum_{r=0}^{k_2} M^{(r)}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

Where $M^{(r)}$ is the nilpotent part of $1/|w_p^{(n)}|^2$, consisting of monomials in $|\xi_q^{(n)}|^2$ with rank r . Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(r)} = & \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r} \sum_m^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2} \prod_{i=1}^r |\xi_{j_i}|^2}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq m}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{i=1}^r \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r \\ j_1 \neq j_i}} \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((is_{j_1 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \frac{(is_{j_1 2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{(is_{j_1 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2} \prod_{i=1}^r |\xi_{j_i}|^2}{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((is_{j_1 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{i=2}^r \left((is_{j_1 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}. \quad (\text{A2}) \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 1, \dots, k_2$. The sum over m is the Laplace expansion of a determinant. For its evaluation we use the formula

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{i2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{i2}^{(n-1)})^2} \frac{1}{\prod_{i' \neq i}^r \left((is_{i2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{i'2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

which is well known from complex analysis. After symmetrizing the second sum in the indices, $j_i, i = 1, \dots, r$, we arrive at the following expression for $M^{(r)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(r)} = & \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\prod_{i' \neq i}^r \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_{i'} 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \sum_m^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq m}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \\ & \left[\frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{\left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} - \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{\left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \right] \prod_{i=1}^r |\xi_{j_i}|^2 \\ & + \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\prod_{i' \neq i}^r \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_{i'} 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \\ & \frac{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \frac{(is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2}{(is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2} \prod_{i=1}^r |\xi_{j_i}|^2}{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{i=1}^r \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right)}. \quad (\text{A4}) \end{aligned}$$

Now the determinant mentioned above can be evaluated by using the translational invariance of the differences. The second term in the squared bracket cancels completely, we are left with

$$M^{(r)} = \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j}^r \left((is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{j_i' 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)} \frac{2(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right)}{\left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right) \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} \prod_{i=1}^r |\xi_{j_i}|^2. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Using identity (A3) once more and summing over r gives

$$\frac{1}{|w_p^{(n)}|^2} = \frac{-2(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{m=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k_2} \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{|\xi_{j_i}|^2}{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{j_i 2}^{(n-1)})^2} \right). \quad (\text{A6})$$

The double sum in Eq. (A6) simply amounts to

$$\prod_{q=1}^{k_2} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi_q^{(n)}|^2}{(s_{p1}^{(n)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2} \right). \quad (\text{A7})$$

Employing the definition (2.33) of $|\xi_q^{(n)}|^2$, we arrive at the final result for $|w_p^{(n)}|^2$ in Eq. (2.32). Equation (2.29) and the corresponding equation for the odd levels are evaluated similarly, yielding the results stated in Sec. II D. Equation (2.30) has to be treated differently due to the Grassmann singularities, occurring on the left hand side. Inserting the expressions Eq. (2.31) into Eq. (2.30) we have

$$1 = |\beta_p^{(n)}|^2 \left(\sum_m^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{\left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 \right)^2} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq p}}^{k_2} \frac{(is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{\left((is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 \right)^2} |\alpha_{m'}^{(n)}|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} \frac{(is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2} \right). \quad (\text{A8})$$

To cancel the singularity, $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2$ has to be expanded in terms of $c_p^{(n)} |\xi_p^{(n)}|^2$. The expansion coefficient $c_p^{(n)}$ now contains a nonzero part and its inverse is therefore well defined. Dividing both sides by $c_p^{(n)}$ and ordering the right hand side by powers of $|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2$, one finds

$$\frac{1}{c_p^{(n)}} = 2(is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} + \\ \left(\sum_m^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \frac{(s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{\left((s_{m1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 \right)^2} |v_m^{(n)}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq p}}^{k_2} \frac{(is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 + (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2}{\left((is_{m'2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2 \right)^2} |\alpha_{m'}^{(n)}|^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n-1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n-1)})^2 \right)}{\prod_{q=1, q \neq p}^{k_2} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (is_{q2}^{(n)})^2 \right) \prod_{q=1}^{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}} \left((is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{q1}^{(n)})^2 \right)} \right) |\xi_p^{(n)}|^2. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Since $c_p^{(n)}$ and thus $1/c_p^{(n)}$ are of order zero in $|\xi_p^{(n)}|^2$, the whole term in round brackets can be neglected. It can be shown by straightforward manipulations that this term leads just to a shift of $(is_{p2}^{(n-1)})^2 \rightarrow (is_{p2}^{(n)})^2$ in the resulting expression for $c_p^{(n)}$. This does not affect $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2$. Hence, we immediately arrive at the result for $|\beta_p^{(n)}|^2$ given in Eq. (2.32). The equations for the odd levels are treated accordingly.

APPENDIX B: REAL FORM OF THE PROJECTION MATRICES $\widehat{b}^{(n)}$

We restrict ourselves to the case $n \leq k_1$, $(k_1 - n + 1)$ even. The odd case can be treated accordingly. The rectangular $(k_1 - n + 1 + k_2) \times (k_1 - n + k_2)$ matrix $\widehat{b}^{(n)T}$ can schematically be written as

$$\widehat{b}^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_{11}^{(n)} & \tilde{b}_1^{(n)} & \tilde{b}_{12}^{(n)} \\ \tilde{b}_{21}^{(n)} & \tilde{b}_2^{(n)} & \tilde{b}_{22}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Here, $\tilde{b}_{11}^{(n)}$ is a $(k_1 - n + 1)/2 \times (k_1 - n - 1)/2$ matrix with entries

$$(\tilde{b}_{11}^{(n)})_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \frac{|v_i^{(n)}| |w_j^{(n)}|}{(s_{i1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{j1}^{(n)})^2} \begin{bmatrix} s_{j1}^{(n)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} & s_{j1}^{(n-1)} \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \\ -s_{j1}^{(n)} \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} & s_{j1}^{(n-1)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

The matrix $\tilde{b}_{12}^{(n)}$ has dimension $(k_1 - n + 1)/2 \times k_2$ and entries

$$(\tilde{b}_{12}^{(n)})_{ij} = \frac{|v_i^{(n)}|}{(s_{i1}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{j2}^{(n)})^2} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_j^{(n)*} \left(i s_{j2}^{(n)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} + s_{j1}^{(n-1)} i \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \right) & -i \beta_j^{(n)*} \left(s_{i1}^{(n-1)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} + i s_{j2}^{(n)} i \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \right) \\ -\beta_j^{(n)} \left(i s_{j2}^{(n)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} - s_{j1}^{(n-1)} i \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \right) & -i \beta_j^{(n)} \left(s_{i1}^{(n-1)} \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} - i s_{j2}^{(n)} i \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \right) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Moreover, $\tilde{b}_{21}^{(n)}$ is a $k_2 \times (k_1 - n + 1)/2$ matrix with entries

$$(\tilde{b}_{21}^{(n)})_{ij} = \frac{|w_j^{(n)}|}{(i s_{i2}^{(n-1)})^2 - (s_{j1}^{(n)})^2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i^{(n)} s_{j1}^{(n)} & i \alpha_i^{(n)} i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} \\ \alpha_i^{(n)*} s_{j1}^{(n)} & -i \alpha_i^{(n)*} i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

and $\tilde{b}_{22}^{(n)}$ is a $k_2 \times (k_1 - n + 1)/2$ matrix with entries

$$(\tilde{b}_{22}^{(n)})_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i^{(n)} \beta_j^{(n)*} / (i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} - i s_{j2}^{(n)}) & \alpha_i^{(n)} \beta_j^{(n)} / (i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} + i s_{j2}^{(n)}) \\ -\alpha_i^{(n)*} \beta_j^{(n)*} / (i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} + i s_{j2}^{(n)}) & -\alpha_i^{(n)*} \beta_j^{(n)} / (i s_{i2}^{(n-1)} - i s_{j2}^{(n)}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Finally, the entries of $\tilde{b}_1^{(n)}$ and $\tilde{b}_2^{(n)}$ are given by

$$(\tilde{b}_1^{(n)})_i = \sqrt{2} \frac{|v_i^{(n)}| |w_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}}^{(n)}|}{s_{i1}^{(n-1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \vartheta_i^{(n)} \\ \cos \vartheta_i^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \frac{k_1 - n + 1}{2},$$

$$(\tilde{b}_2^{(n)})_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|w_{\frac{k_1-n+1}{2}}^{(n)}|}{s_{i1}^{(n-1)}} \begin{bmatrix} i \alpha_i^{(n)} \\ -i \alpha_i^{(n)*} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k_2. \quad (\text{B6})$$

We notice that all elements of $\widehat{b}^{(n)}$ are real.

¹ I.M. Gelfand and M.L. Tsetlin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. **71**, 825 (1950)

² I.M. Gelfand and M.L. Tsetlin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. **71**, 1017 (1950)

³ A.O. Barut and R. Raczka, Theory of Group Representations and Applications, Warszawa: Polish Scientific Publishers, 1980

⁴ S.L. Shatashvili, Commun. Math. Phys. **154**, 421 (1993)

⁵ T. Guhr, Commun. Math. Phys. **176**, 555 (1996)

⁶ V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, J. Functional Analysis. **52**, 106 (1983)

⁷ V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Symplectic Techniques in Physics, Cambridge: University Press, 1984

⁸ A. Alekseev, L. Fadeev and S.L. Shatashvili, J. Geom. Phys. **5**, 391 (1989)

⁹ T. Guhr and H. Kohler, math-ph/0011007

- ¹⁰ T. Guhr and H. Kohler, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2707 (2002)
- ¹¹ T. Guhr and H. Kohler, [math-ph/0012047](#)
- ¹² T. Guhr and H. Kohler, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2741 (2002)
- ¹³ V.C. Kac, Comm. Math. Phys. **53**, 31 (1977)
- ¹⁴ V.C. Kac, Advances in Math. **26**, 8 (1977)
- ¹⁵ V. Rittenberg, A Guide to Lie Superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Physics **79**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1977
- ¹⁶ F.A. Berezin, Introduction to Superalgebra, MPAM**9**, Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing, 1987
- ¹⁷ M.L. Mehta, Matrix Theory, Les Ulis: Les Editions de Physique, 1989
- ¹⁸ T. Guhr, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 336 (1991)
- ¹⁹ T. Guhr, Ann. Phys. (NY) **250**, 145 (1996)
- ²⁰ N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, Linear Operators 2 – Spectral Theory, New York, Wiley, 1988
- ²¹ I.M. Gelfand and M.A. Naimark, Trudi MIAN **36**, 1 (1950)
- ²² T. Guhr, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 2541 (1993)